KRISTINE M. KUZEMKA, ESQ. Nevada Bar #8836 Kuzemka Law Group 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702) 949, 9990					
			kristine@k	zuzemkalaw.com	
			Attorney	or Defendant	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
UNITED	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:18-cr-240-KJD-GWF			
V.		FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS			
, and the state of					
Defendant.					
		•			
5 FINDINGS OF FACT					
Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court					
finds that:					
1.	Counsel for the Defendant spoke with DA	VID REYNAGA-VALENZUELA and he has			
not objection to the request for continuance;					
2. As indicated below, counsel for the United States has no objection to the request for					
	continuance;				
3. Defense counsel requested additional time to properly review a document to determine					
whether additional investigation is necessary;					
4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow					
counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA-VALENZUELA sufficient time to effectivel					
and thoroughly research, and determine whether the change of plea is appropriate;					
5. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice;					
	Nevada Ba Kuzemka I 1180 N. To Las Vegas, (702) 949- kristine@k Attorney fo  UNITED  v. DAVID F  Bas finds that:  1.  2.  3.	Nevada Bar #8836 Kuzemka Law Group 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702) 949-9990 kristine@kuzemkalaw.com Attorney for Defendant  UNITED STATES D DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  v.  DAVID REYNAGA-VALENZUELA, Defendant.  FINDINGS OI  Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and finds that:  1. Counsel for the Defendant spoke with DA not objection to the request for continuance 2. As indicated below, counsel for the Unit continuance;  3. Defense counsel requested additional time whether additional investigation is necessar 4. The additional time requested herein is not counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA and thoroughly research, and determine whether was a solution of the counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA and thoroughly research, and determine whether was a solution of the counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA and thoroughly research, and determine whether was a solution of the counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA and thoroughly research, and determine whether was a solution of the counsel for Defendant DAVID REYNAGA and thoroughly research, and determine whether was a solution of the counter of the coun			

6. This if the first request for a continuance for Change of Plea filed herein.

## **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excusable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) considering the factors in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

## **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Change of Plea date as to DAVID REYNAGA-VALENZUELA currently scheduled for February 13, 2019, be continued to <u>February 27, 2019</u> at 9:00 a.m. in courtroom 4A.

Dated: 2/15/2019

ÙNITEĎ STATES DISTRICT JUDGE KENT J. DAWSON